

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.290 OF 2006

Mr.Mahendra Kumar Aggarwal .. Petitioner.
Vs.
The State of
Maharashtra & Anr. .. Respondents.

Mr.A.M.Saraogi for the petitioner.
Mr.S.R.Shinde A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 6TH JULY, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Mr.Saraogi, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that he has instructions not to press this
petition and the petitioner will be satisfied if the
directions are given to the trial Court to decide the
case within time frame. He further submitted that the
petitioner may also be given permanent exemption from
appearing before the trial Court since he is not
disputing the identify as an accused in the case.
Considering the submissions advanced by Mr.Saraogi, in
my opinion, the following order shall meet the ends of
justice:

(i) The writ petition is disposed of as not pressed.

(ii) The trial Court shall endeavour to decide the case as expeditiously as possible and preferrably within a period of one year from today. The petitioner shall produce a copy of this order before the trial Court within two weeks from today.

(iii) If the petitioner files an application seeking permanent exemption such application may be decided in the light of judgment of this Court in **Bhaskar Sen Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. 2004(4) ALL MR 606.**

. With these observations this petition is disposed of. It is needless to express that the trial Court shall decide the case on merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made by the Sessions Court while rejecting the revision filed by the petitioner.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)